

Community Impact Assessment: Summary

- 1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed: Amendments to the Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO) licensing conditions and fees.
- 2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria? To implement the third HMO 5 year programme introducing a new condition requiring HMO licence holders to be attend a One Day Professional Development Course and to streamline the licensing process.
- **3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment:** Ruth Abbott Housing Standards and Adaptations Manager

4. Have any impacts	Community of	Summary of impact:
been Identified? (Yes/No) Yes	Identity affected: Age	There is a significant student population living in the HMO sector in the city, young professionals and people under 35 who are benefit

- **5. Date CIA completed:** 1st December 2015
- 6. Signed off by:
- 7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact assessed.

Name:

Position:

Date:

8. Decision-making body:	Date:	Decision Details:
Executive Member for Housing and Safer Neighbourhoods	13 th December 2015	

Send the completed signed off document to ciasubmission@york.gov.uk It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website.

Actions arising from the Assessments will be logged on Verto and progress updates will be required



Community Impact Assessment (CIA)

Community	Impact	Assessment
Title:		

What evidence is available to suggest that the proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or no (None) effect on quality of life outcomes? (Refer to guidance for further details)

Can negative impacts be justified? For example: improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement duties; taking positive action to address imbalances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g. older people.

NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

Community of Identity: Age					
Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		
Data from the Business Intelligence Hub Private Sector Stock Condition Survey 2008 Draft BRE research 2015 Landlord Survey 2014	Longevity; Physical Security; Health; Standard of Living;	Positive	None		

<u>.</u>				
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
Positive Evidence shows that significant proportion of our student population, young professionals and people under the age of 35 and in receipt of benefits live in HMOs. A survey carried out in 2014 found that many landlords own less than 5 properties and that ignorance of the law rather than blatant flouting of the law led to poorer housing conditions in this sector. By ensuring that their knowledge about housing conditions, management and the law it will improve the sector Negative There will be some additional costs associated with providing and administrating the Professional Development Scheme course	Yes	To ensure that other suitable courses are identified and to provide discounts to landlords who have attended them. The fee will be brought in line with the average licence fee for re-licensing HMOs for similar sized and type of councils. It will be slightly higher than the average for completely new licences however we anticipate that	Housing Standard And Adaptations Manager	December 2015

on average we will only expect 20	
new applications	

Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People				
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Disability					
Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)		

Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date

Community of Identity: Gender					
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date	

Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment					
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date	

Community of Identity: Marriage & Civil Partnership					
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date	

Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity				
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date

Community of Identity: Race				
Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	

Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date

Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief				
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date

Community of Identity: Sexual Orientation				
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completio n Date